

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE/
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/644,029	08/20/2003	Keiji Nakamura	1190-0577P	5336	
2292	7590 05/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			DINH,	DINH, JACK	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2873		
		DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/644,029	NAKAMURA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Jack Dinh	2873				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo	Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		•					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 April 2005</u> .						
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 15 and 20-27 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 15,22 and 26 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21 and 25 is/are rejected.						
· · · · · ·							
8)□	Claim(s) <u>20,23,24 and 27</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Opin Chaint(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers						
	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2003 is/are: a)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Driority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	· ·						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
· a)	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	44.3						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. <u>0505</u> .							
3) 🔲 Infori Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities. The term "fist" in line 4 should be changed to "first", as apparent. Appropriate correction is required. Claims 23, 24 and 27 are objected to based upon the objected base claim 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 21, lines 2 and 4, the term "boss" was not used in the specification to describe any referenced number in the drawings. Therefore, it is unclear which member in the drawings being claimed. Claim 25 is rejected based upon the rejected base claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 15, 22 and 26 are allowed. Claims 20, 23, 24 and 27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. Regarding claim 15, the prior art fails to disclose that the lens-holder having two first holes, a first magnetic path member having two

Application/Control Number: 10/644,029

Art Unit: 2873

first opposing walls, a second magnetic path member having two second opposing walls and a first bottom wall that connects the second opposing walls, a magnet and coil being fixedly mounted on one of the first opposing walls, wherein the second magnetic path member is mounted to the first magnetic path member such that the second opposing walls extend through corresponding ones of the two first holes and at least part of turns of the coil are between one of the first opposing walls and one of the second opposing walls.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-2327. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack Dinh

Georgia Patent Examiner
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 3